ISSN: 2276 - 707X



**ChemSearch Journal 11(1): 35 – 43, June, 2020** Publication of Chemical Society of Nigeria, Kano Chapter

Received: 07/02/2020 Accepted: 16/03/2020 http://www.ajol.info/index.php/csj



# Adsorption of Heavy Metals from Steel Processing Effluent on Sodium Hydroxide Modified Nigerian Kaolinite

<sup>1</sup>Lawal, J. A., <sup>1</sup>Odebunmi, E. O. and <sup>2</sup>Adekola, F. A.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Ilorin, Nigeria <sup>2</sup>Department of Industrial Chemistry, University of Ilorin, Nigeria \*Correspondence Email: jonnylawal@gmail.com

# ABSTRACT

This study investigated the quality level of heavy metal-laden effluent discharge (wastewater) from a steel processing plant in Ilorin. Kwara State, Nigeria and the utilization of NaOH treated Nigerian kaolinite clav as low-cost adsorbent for remediation of the effluent. Physicochemical analysis of the wastewater was carried out and the clay adsorbent was characterized using X-Ray Diffractometry (XRD), Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) and Scanning Electron Microscopy coupled with Electron Dispersive Spectroscopy (SEM/EDS). From the results obtained, XRD reflection peaks of the 63 µm fractions obtained after purification revealed the clay as kaolin. FTIR absorption bands at 3689.64 and 3619.67 cm<sup>-1</sup> represent surface -OH stretching of the octahedral and inner -OH between the tetrahedral and octahedral sheets. The EDS spectra of the kaolin following treatment with NaOH showed an increase in silica and alumina content from 15.92% to 24.81% and 13.36% to 15.58% respectively. Physicochemical parameters of the raw effluents were above the recommended limits set by National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA) of Nigeria for industrial discharge. The metal ion concentrations were 309.0, 20.50, 40.40 and 10.90 mg/L for Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Cr<sup>6+</sup> ions respectively. Successive reuse of the treated clay in four adsorption cycles recorded removal efficiency of 99.77, 99.95, 98.91 and 99.91% for Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Cr<sup>6+</sup> ions respectively and decrease in the physicochemical parameters to permissible level. The Water Quality and Metal Pollution Indices (WQI/MPI) of the effluent after adsorption showed the treated wastewater fulfilled environmental requirement for industrial discharge. The study concluded that NaOH treated clay offered potential for remediation of heavy metal-laden industrial effluents.

Keywords: Adsorption, Clay, Effluents, Heavy metals, Steel

# INTRODUCTION

Effluents from steel processing industries contribute greatly to contamination of water bodies. In general, steel processing industries deal with manufacturing of products including nail shanks, umbrella nail, reinforcement concrete mesh wire, binding wire, drawn wire and straightening wire. Roll bands of metal sheets processed into these products are treated in series of acid prickle bath to remove rust before lubrication for rust prevention (Harika et al., 2015). These treatments result in generation of wastewater with high degree of acidity, suspended and dissolved solids, heavy metals, oil and grease and other organic pollutants. Heavy metals are the predominant contaminants in steel processing wastewater with concentrations at toxic level in most cases (Joyoti, 2013; Harika et al., 2015).

Several physical, chemical and biological methods such as chemical oxidation, precipitation, adsorption on to different matrices, ozonation, solvent extraction, electrolysis, membrane filtration and activated sludge have been used for effluent treatment (Fu and Wang,2011; Joyoti, 2013).

35

However, some of these techniques are considered too expensive, require high energy consumption or are rendered ineffective by the presence of recalcitrant contaminants (Smith et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2013). To overcome limitations and improve the efficiency of treatment process, some of the techniques considered compatible have been integrated as dual or multi-step complementary methods (Zhang et al., 2012). Adsorption is a lowcost method of wastewater treatment and the effectiveness of clay minerals as adsorbent is wellestablished (Jiang et al., 2010; Olaofe et al., 2015; Emam et al., 2016). Application of some chemically modified clay minerals as adsorbents have proven to be an effective method of heavy metal remediation from aqueous solutions of heavy metal ions. Modification of clay adsorbents with some alkaline, organic and inorganic acids and quaternary ammonium compounds are known to enhance the adsorption capacity of clays (Cruz-Guzman et al., 2006; Al-Harahsheh et al., 2009; Addy et al., 2012). Weight Arithmetic Water Quality Index method has been designed to rate the quality of different sources of drinking water as

CSJ 11(1): June, 2020 ISSN: shown in Table 1 (Tyagi *et al.*, 2013; Boah *et al.*, 2015).

The use of different adsorbents for remediation of heavy metal-laden industrial effluents have been reported. Examples include, removal of nickel from electroplating rinse water using coir pith and modified coir pith (Ewecharoen *et al.*, 2008), adsorption of heavy metals from electroplating wastewater on wood saw dust (Sciban *et al.*, 2007) and olive stone (Martin-Lara *et al.*, 2014). Acid-modified montmorillonite has effectively been used for remediation of automobile effluent (Akpomie and Dawodu, 2016).This study focused on the analysis of physicochemical parameters of acid pickle liquor discharge from a steel processing plant and the utilization of NaOH modified Nigerian kaolinite clay as a low-cost adsorbent for removal of  $Fe^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$  and  $Cr^{6+}$ ions from the wastewater. To evaluate the economic viability of the adsorption process, the spent modified clay was regenerated and reused for successive adsorption of the effluent. The effectiveness of the clay to remove the metal ions and other contaminants was determined using Water Quality and Metal Pollution Indices.

**Table 1: Water Quality Index** 

WQI Value	Water Quality Rating
0-25	Excellent water quality
26-50	Good water quality
51-75	Poor water quality
76-100	Very Poor water quality
Above 100	Unsuitable for drinking purpose
0	

**Source:** Tyagi *et al.* (2013) and Boah *et al.* (2015)

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Preparation and Characterization of Clay Adsorbent

The natural clay sample (K-clay) was collected from Argungu deposit, Kebbi State; an extension of Sokoto sedimentary basin, Nigeria. Separation of the 63µm fraction particle size of Kclay from impurities was carried out using wetsedimentation method (Ahmed et al., 2012). The 63 µm fraction of the clay was treated with sodium hydroxide by adding 25.0 g portion of the natural clay sample to 500 mL of 0.2 M solution of NaOH and the suspension stirred with a magnetic stirrer at the rate of 200 revolutions per minute (rpm) for 60 minutes at 50°C. The resulting slurry was centrifuged at 3000 revolutions per minute (rpm) for 30 minutes and the sediment washed repeatedly with deionized water to neutral pH and dried at 103°C to give the NaOH modified kaolin (K-S clay).

Characterization of the clay was carried out using BRUKER, AXS D8 Advance X-Ray Diffractiometer (XRD), Bruker Tensor 27 Platinum ATR-FTIR and TESCAN VEGA TS 5136LM Scanning Electron Microscope coupled with Electron Dispersive Spectrometer (SEM/EDS).

# Physicochemical Analysis of the Steel Effluent

Samples of the effluent were collected from a steel processing plant in Ilorin, Kwara state, Nigeria. Physicochemical parameters including pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC), Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD), Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), Chloride and Sulphate ion content were determined using standard methods (Shun and Lee, 2004; De, 2012).

# Adsorption of Heavy Metal Ions from the Steel Effluent

Adsorption of heavy metal ions on K-S clay was carried out by contacting the clay adsorbent with 0.25 L of the effluent. The experimental conditions were adsorbent particle size of 75 µm, pH range (3.0 -4.8), shaking speed 300 rpm, adsorbent dose 1.0 g/0.25 L, temperature of 55°C and contact time of 240 minutes. Then, 5.0 mL samples were withdrawn using a syringe (hub packed with cotton wool) in the first 15 minutes and subsequently at time intervals of 30 minutes, centrifuged at 3500 revolutions per minute (rpm) for 15 minutes and the supernatant solution analyzed using Buck Scientific 210 VGP Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer. After each adsorption cycle, the spent clay was regenerated through desorption by stirring with 0.2M HCl solution for one hour at 50°C and then washed severally with deionized water before its reuse in successive adsorption cycles.

The adsorption capacity of K-S clay was determined using the mass balance equation;

$$q_x = \frac{V(C_i - C_x)}{W} \tag{1}$$

Where  $C_i$  and  $C_x$  are the initial and final concentrations of heavy metal ions (mg/L), V is the volume of effluent (L), W is the weight (g) of adsorbent and  $q_x$  is the amount of heavy metals adsorbed at equilibrium (mg/g).

The percentage of heavy metal ions adsorbed was evaluated using the formula;

Percentage removal (%) = 
$$\frac{(C_i - C_x) \times 100}{C_i}$$
 (2)

Weighted Arithmetic Water Quality (WQI) and Metal Pollution Indices (MPI) were used to evaluate the effluents quality before and after adsorption, following literature procedure (Tyagi *et al.*, 2013; Boah *et al.*, 2015).The results obtained were compared with permissible limits of the World Health Organization (WHO) and NESREA (2011). WQI and MPI indices were determined for the raw effluent and effluent after several cycles of adsorption with K-S clay.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** Characterization of the Clay

The following results were obtained from the characterization of purified 63  $\mu$ m fraction of natural K-clay and portion treated with NaOH (K-S clay).

#### **XRD Patterns:**

The XRD patterns of K-clay showing the qualitative and quantitative mineralogical phase composition, confirm kaolinite as the only clay mineral present in the 63  $\mu$ m fraction of the clay (Fig. 1). The reflection peaks for the interlayer spacing were predominantly observed at 2 $\theta$  values of 12.4<sup>0</sup>, 25<sup>0</sup>, 38.5<sup>0</sup>, and 62.5<sup>0</sup> respectively. Similar reflection peaks for kaolinite clay have been reported in literature: 12<sup>0</sup> and 25<sup>0</sup> (Emam *et al.*,

2016) and 12.3<sup>°</sup>, 19.8<sup>°</sup>, 24.9<sup>°</sup> and 62<sup>°</sup> (Heah *et al.*, 2013).

#### FT-IR:

The results of FTIR spectra obtained from the natural K-clay reveals triple hydroxyl group absorption bands in the IR region of 3600-3700 cm-<sup>1</sup>which confirm the clay as kaolinite (Fig. 2). The two strong peaks at 3689.64 and 3619.67 cm<sup>-1</sup> are associated with stretching of the surface hydroxyl groups of the octahedral layer and inner hydroxyl groups located in the plane between the tetrahedral and octahedral sheets. Navak and Singh (2007): Jiang et al. (2009); Heah et al. (2013) reported values 3696.7 and 3622.5 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 3695.9 and 3620.6 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 3688 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 3617 cm<sup>-1</sup> respectively for the -OH groups. The third weak absorption band at 3651.57 cm<sup>-1</sup> was assigned to out-of-plane stretching vibration hydroxyl group at the octahedral surface. Deformation band of water OH was observed at 1630 cm<sup>-1</sup>.Si-O-Si stretching, inplane Si-O stretching, Si-OH stretching and deformation bands of Al-Al-OH were observed at 1113.91, 1025.18, 999.05 and 909.68 cm<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Two intensive peaks at 749.17 and 788.44 cm<sup>-1</sup> correspond to Al-O and Si-O out of plane respectively. Al-O-Si bending vibration and Si-O-Si assignments were also observed at 525.01 and 458.66 cm<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The values of absorption bands between the IR regions of 1630-400 cm<sup>-1</sup>are similar to those reported in literature (Osabor et al., 2009; Djomgoue and Njopwouo, 2013).



Fig. 1: XRD patterns of K-clay



Fig. 2: FT-IR Spectrum of K-Clay

#### **SEM/EDS:**

The results of surface morphology and elemental composition of K-clay and K-S clay are shown in Fig. 3. Comparative analysis of the SEM micrographs showed the orientation of K-clay exhibited a predominant filmy particles stacked in layers. The microstructure of K-S showed variable cluster of flake-like particles. The EDS spectra reveals the presence of aluminum, silicon and oxygen as the predominant elements in K-clay (Fig. 4). Iron, potassium, calcium and titanium were present in trace amount as shown in the elemental composition. Folorunsho *et al.* (2014) reported oxygen, silicon and aluminum as the predominant element in three Nigerian kaolinite clays. Different signal intensities and weight (%) observed for the elements after modification with NaOH showed there was complete removal of Carbon in K-S and the weight of Oxygen increased from 48.85% to 54.62% (Table 2). Al-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substantially increased from 13.36% in K-clay to 15.58% in K-S clay while Si-SiO<sub>2</sub> increased from 15.92 to 24.81% respectively. Sodium observed in K-S (0.75%) can be attributed to the interaction of NaOH with the clay surface. In a recent report (Heah *et al.*, 2013), the sodium content of a modified kaolinite clay was found to increase from 0.20 to 2.47%.



Fig. 3: SEM image of: (a) K-clay (b) K-S clay



Fig. 4: EDX Spectra: (a) AK-clay (b) AK-S clay

Element	AK-clay Weight (%)	AK-S Weight (%)
С	6.51	-
0	48.85	54.92
Na	-	0.75
Al	13.36	15.58
Si	15.92	24.81
Κ	0.32	0.21
Ca	0.25	0.53
Ti	0.28	0.31
Fe	2.49	2.31
Total	87.98	99.42

Table 2: Elemental Composition of K-clay and K-S clay

#### Adsorption of Metal Ions from the Steel Effluent on K-S Clay

The initial concentrations of metal ions detected in the effluent were 309.0, 20.50, 40.40 and 10.90 mg/L for Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Cr<sup>6+</sup>ions respectively. From the results (Fig. 5 and Table 3), adsorption of Fe<sup>2+</sup> from the effluent at pH of 3.0 on K-S clay in the first cycle recorded removal efficiency of 38.95% and 42.39% in the first 15 and 30 minutes respectively. Contact time of 60 to 240 minutes were characterized by low or no significant increase in adsorption to reach equilibrium capacity of 48.38% (37.36 mg/g) at 240 minutes. Uptake of Pb<sup>2+</sup> ion was rapid in the first 15 minutes (16.10%, 0.83 mg/g) and then gradually increased from 30-150 minutes to 26.83% (1.38 mg/g) after which a near state of equilibrium was maintained till 240

minutes at which removal efficiency of 28.78% (1.48 mg/g) was achieved. Near state of equilibrium was attained in the first 15 minutes (5.94%, 0.63 mg/g) for the adsorption of  $Zn^{2+}$  ion. Above this contact time, little or stable increase was observed as the time progressed to 240 minutes where adsorption capacity of 1.55 mg/g (15.35%) was recorded. Adsorption of  $Cr^{6+}$  ion increased gradually from 15 minutes (20.18%, 0.55 mg/g to 240 minutes (37.6%, 1.03 mg/g).

Using the regenerated adsorbent for the second adsorption cycle resulted in removal efficiency of 38.56, 58.90, 28.07 and 27.94 % for Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Cr<sup>6+</sup> ions respectively. The third adsorption cycle resulted in uptake of 53.47, 75.0, 62.60 and 63.27% for Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Cr<sup>6+</sup> ions at contact time of 240 minutes. The

Lawal et al.

amount adsorbed at the fourth adsorption cycle were 11.23, 0.37, 0.23 and 0.45 mg/g with final concentrations for Fe<sup>2+</sup> (0.7 mg/L), Pb<sup>2+</sup> (0.01 mg/L), Zn<sup>2+</sup> (0.04 mg/L) and Cr<sup>6+</sup> (0.01 mg/L) and total removal efficiency of 99.77, 99.95, 99.90 and 99.91 % respectively.

As the concentration of the metal ions decreased, the pH of the effluent increased from 3.0 to 4.8 at the fourth cycle without precipitation of the metal ions due to decrease in the concentration of the ions. Higher adsorption capacity for Fe<sup>2+</sup> ion can be attributed to high number of its molecules available for interaction, which also increased its driving force to the binding sites than other metal ions (Jiang et al., 2009; Arivoli et al., 2013). This implies that the presence of Fe<sup>2+</sup> ion at higher concentration hindered the rate of sorption of other metal ions. The adsorption capacity for each successive cycle follows the magnitude of the initial concentrations of the metal ions. Akpomie and Dawodu (2016) reported similar trend of higher adsorption capacity for Zn<sup>2+</sup> ion over other metal ions (Zn<sup>2+</sup>> Cu<sup>2+</sup>> Mn<sup>2+</sup>> Cd<sup>2+</sup>> Pb<sup>2+</sup>> Ni<sup>2+</sup>) from an automobile effluent in sequence relating directly to the magnitude of their initial concentrations. In another report (Beh *et al.*, 2012), adsorption of heavy metals from steel making wastewater on electric arc furnace slag in a fixedbed column mode recorded decrease in concentrations of Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions from 23.3, 1.02, 1.56 and 0.83 mg/L to 0.08, 0.01, 0.03 and 0.07 mg/L respectively.

For each of the four adsorption cycles, the decreasing order of adsorption, based on amount adsorbed in mg/g, is  $Fe^{2+}>Zn^{2+}>Pb^{2+}>Cr^{6+}$  ions. For all the metal ions, the amount adsorbed decreased with increase in adsorption cycle and the pH of the solution also increased with increase in adsorption cycle. Using  $Fe^{2+}$  for illustration, the amount adsorbed was 37.38 mg/g, 15.38 mg/g, 13.10 mg/g and 11.23 mg/g for the first, second, third and fourth adsorption cycles respectively and the pH measured were 3.0, 3.5, 4.2 and 4.8 respectively.



Fig. 5: First adsorption cycle for Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Cr<sup>6+</sup> ions from the effluent on K-S clay

Table 5. Ausor puon cycles for steer processing endents on regenerated AR-5 clay							
	Fe	Pb	Zn	Cr			
Initial Con. C <sub>i</sub> (mg/L)	309.0	20.50	40.40	10.90			
Final conc. C <sub>x</sub> (mg/L)	159.50	14.60	34.20	6.80			
% adsorbed	48.38	28.78	15.35	37.62			
Amount adsorbed (mg/g)	37.38	1.48	1.55	1.03			
Final conc. $C_x$ (mg/L)	98.0	6.0	24.60	4.90			
% adsorbed	38.56	58.90	28.07	27.94			
Amount adsorbed (mg/g)	15.38	2.15	2.40	0.48			
Final conc. $C_x$ (mg/L)	45.60	1.50	9.20	1.80			
% adsorbed	53.47	75.0	62.60	63.27			
Amount adsorbed (mg/g)	13.10	1.13	3.85	0.78			
Final conc. $C_x$ (mg/L)	0.70	0.01	0.04	0.01			
% adsorbed	98.48	99.33	99.57	99.44			
Amount adsorbed (mg/g)	11.23	0.37	0.23	0.45			
	99.77	99.95	98.91	99.91			
	Initial Con. $C_i$ (mg/L) Final conc. $C_x$ (mg/L) % adsorbed Amount adsorbed (mg/g) Final conc. $C_x$ (mg/L) % adsorbed Amount adsorbed (mg/g)	Fe Fe   Initial Con. C <sub>i</sub> (mg/L) 309.0   Final conc. C <sub>x</sub> (mg/L) 159.50   % adsorbed 48.38   Amount adsorbed (mg/g) 37.38   Final conc. C <sub>x</sub> (mg/L) 98.0   % adsorbed 38.56   Amount adsorbed (mg/g) 15.38   Final conc. C <sub>x</sub> (mg/L) 45.60   % adsorbed 53.47   Amount adsorbed (mg/g) 13.10   Final conc. C <sub>x</sub> (mg/L) 0.70   % adsorbed 98.48   Amount adsorbed (mg/g) 11.23   99.77 99.77	Fe Pb   Initial Con. C <sub>i</sub> (mg/L) 309.0 20.50   Final conc. C <sub>x</sub> (mg/L) 159.50 14.60   % adsorbed 48.38 28.78   Amount adsorbed (mg/g) 37.38 1.48   Final conc. C <sub>x</sub> (mg/L) 98.0 6.0   % adsorbed 38.56 58.90   Amount adsorbed (mg/g) 15.38 2.15   Final conc. C <sub>x</sub> (mg/L) 45.60 1.50   % adsorbed 53.47 75.0   Amount adsorbed (mg/g) 13.10 1.13   Final conc. C <sub>x</sub> (mg/L) 0.70 0.01   % adsorbed 98.48 99.33   Amount adsorbed (mg/g) 11.23 0.37   99.77 99.95 14.60	FePbZnInitial Con. $C_i$ (mg/L)309.020.5040.40Final conc. $C_x$ (mg/L)159.5014.6034.20% adsorbed48.3828.7815.35Amount adsorbed (mg/g)37.381.481.55Final conc. $C_x$ (mg/L)98.06.024.60% adsorbed38.5658.9028.07Amount adsorbed (mg/g)15.382.152.40Final conc. $C_x$ (mg/L)45.601.509.20% adsorbed53.4775.062.60Amount adsorbed (mg/g)13.101.133.85Final conc. $C_x$ (mg/L)0.700.010.04% adsorbed98.4899.3399.57Amount adsorbed (mg/g)11.230.370.2399.7799.9598.9111.230.37			

Table 3. Adsor	ntion cycles	for steel	nrocessing	effluents or	n regenerated AK-S clav	
Table J. Ausor	puon cycles	IUI SICCI	processing	cillucints of	a regenerateu Aix-o ciay	

#### Physicochemical Characteristics and WQI/MPI of the Effluent before and after Adsorption on K-S Clay

Table 4 presents the physicochemical characteristics of the steel effluent before and after adsorption. The results of the physicochemical parameters of the raw effluent were pH 1.13  $\pm$ 0.003, EC 10203  $\pm$  2.65  $\mu$ S/cm and 40  $\pm$  1.73,  $1225 \pm 3.0, 4.68 \pm 0.11, 119 \pm 1.0, 121 \pm 2.65, 1768$  $\pm$  4.36 and 367  $\pm$  1.73 mg/L for TSS, TDS, DO, BOD, COD, chloride and sulphate ion respectively. With the exception of DO, all other parameters were critically above the recommended permissible limits (WHO, 2011; NESREA, 2011). The acidic nature, high degree of EC and chloride ions in the effluent can be attributed to wastewater streams generated from acid pickling for descaling the surface of the steel raw materials. High TDS value observed was due to the dissolution of metal dusts and other solid particles by the action of acid solution used during pickling and grinding process. COD was relatively higher than the BOD value; an indication that the effluent contains more of biodegradable resistant organic compounds (Ram *et al.*, 2011). After successive adsorption cycles on K-S clay, the magnitude of the various parameters decreased to reasonable level acceptable for industrial discharge.

The values of WQI and MPI obtained from the physicochemical parameters and metal ion concentrations before adsorption were 1087.19 and 17929.25 respectively. These values which are higher than the critical pollution level confirm that all the parameters were at toxic level when compared with water quality standards of WHO (WHO, 2011:Tvagi et al., 2013). After successive adsorption on K-S clay, the WOI reduced to 187.94, while the MPI reduced to 86.35. The value of WQI was above the critical pollution level while that of MPI fall in the range of very poor water quality range of 76-100 (Table 1). The overall index (WQI and MPI) after treatment showed the adsorption process successfully removed the metal ions and other contaminants in the wastewater to a level acceptable for industrial discharge but of poor quality for drinking based on water quality rating.

Table 4: Physicochemica	l properties of	the effluent before	ore and after adsorption
-------------------------	-----------------	---------------------	--------------------------

Parameters	pН	Cond. $(uS/am)$	TSS (mg/L)	TDS	$DO_{(mg/I)}$	BOD (mg/L)	COD (mg/L)		
		(μ3/cm)	(IIIg/L)	(IIIg/L)	(IIIg/L)	(IIIg/L)	(IIIg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	Sulphate (mg/L)
Before adsorption	1.13	10203	$40 \pm$	$1225 \pm$	$4.68 \pm$	119 ±	121 ±	$1768 \pm$	$367 \pm$
	±0.03	$\pm 2.65$	1.73	3.0	0.11	1.0	2.65	4.36	1.73
After adsorption	5.62	$201\pm$	$6.0 \pm$	22 ±	$6.87$ $\pm$	13±	25	195	92
	±	2.65	0.87	1.5	0.04	1.73	±1.73	±2.65	$\pm 2.65$
	0.05								
	6.5-	300	500	500	5.0	5	10	250	250
WHO Standard Limits	8.5								
NESREA Standard Limits	6.0-	-	500	500	4.0	30	60	350	500
	9.0								

#### CONCLUSION

The results of mineralogical composition of the purified 63 µm fraction of the natural clay minerals show that the Argungu clay (K-clay) is kaolin with favourable properties such as high alumina and silica and low iron content. The results of Water Quality and Metal Pollution Indices (WQI/MPI) revealed that the physicochemical parameters of the steel processing effluent were above the critical pollution index. Application of K-S clay for the remediation process in successive adsorption cycles, resulted in reduction of the heavy metal ions present in the effluent and reduction of other physicochemical parameters to a level that meet the requirement for industrial discharge. Regeneration and reuse of the spent adsorbents for the successive adsorption cycle without reducing the adsorption capacities indicates the efficiency and economic viability of the studied

clay adsorbent for remediation of steel processing effluents.

#### REFERENCES

- Addy, M., Losey, B., Mohseni, R., Zlotnikov, E. and Vasiliev, A. (2012): Adsorption of Heavy Metal Ions on Mesoporous Silica-Modified Montmorillonite containing a Grafted Chelate Ligand. *Appl. Clay Sci.* 59-60: 115-120.
- Ahmed, A.S., Salahudeen, N., Ajinmoh, C.S., Hamza, H. and Ohikere, A. (2012): Studies on the Mineral and Chemical Characteristics of PindigaBentonitic Clay, *Pet. Technol. Dev. J.* 1: 1-8.
- Akpomie, K.O. and Dawodu, F.A (2016):Acid-Modified Montmorillonite for Sorption of Heavy Metals from Automobile Effluent. *Beni-Suef University Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 5: 1-12.

- Al-Harahsheh, M., Shawabkeh, R., Al-Harahsheh, A., Tarawneh, K. and Batiha, M.M. (2009):Surface Modification and Characterization of Jordanian Kaolinite: Application for Lead Removal from Aqueous Solutions. *Appl. Surface. Sci.* 255: 8098-8103.
- Arivoli, S., Marimuthu, V.and Jahangir, A.R.M. (2013):Kinetics of Batch Adsorption of Iron (II) ions from Aqueous Solution using Activated Carbon from StrychnosNux-Vomica L. Int. J. Sci. and Eng. Res. 4(12): 407-417.
- Beh, C. L., Chuah, T. G., Nourouzi, M. N. and Thomas, S.Y. (2012): Removal of Heavy Metals from Steel Making Wastewater by using Electric Arc Furnace slag.*E-J. Chem.*9(4): 2557-2564.
- Boah, D.K., Twum, S.B. and Pelig-Ba, K.B. (2015): Mathematical Computation of Water Quality Index of Vea Dam in Upper East Region of Ghana. *Environ. Sci.* 3(1): 11-16.
- Cruz-Guzman, M., Celis, R., Hermosin, M.C., Koskinen, W.C., Nater, E.A. and Cornejo, J. (2006): Heavy Metal Adsorption by Montmorillonite Modified with Natural Organic Cations. *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J.* 70: 215-221.
- De, A.K. (2012): Environmental Chemistry, 7<sup>th</sup> Edition, New Age International Publishers, New Delhi, pp. 235-259.
- Djomgoue, P. and Njopwouo, D. (2013): FT-IR Spectroscopy Applied for Surface Clays. Journal of Surface Engineered Materials and Advanced Technology, 3: 275-28.
- Emam, A.A., Ismaila, L.F.M. and AbdelKhalekb, M.A. (2016):Adsorption Study of some Heavy Metal Ions on Modified Kaolinite Clay. Int. J. Adv. Eng. Technol., Manag. Appl. Sci. 3(7): 152-163.
- Ewecharoen, A., Thiravetyan, P. and Nakbanpote, W. (2008): Comparison of nickel adsorption from electroplating rinsewater by coir pith and modified coir pith. *Chem. Eng. J.* 137: 181-188.
- Folorunso, D.O., Olubambi, P. and Borode, J.O. (2014):Characterization and Qualitative Analysis of Some Nigerian Clay Deposits for Refractory Applications. J. Appl. Chem.7(9): 40-47.
- Fu, F. and Wang, F. (2011): Removal of Heavy Metal Ions from Wastewaters: A Review. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 92: 407-418.
- Harika, D., Swetha, D. and Vijay, J.T. (2015): Role of MBC Plant in Treating Steel Plant Effluent: A Case Study. Int. J. Sci., Technol. Manag.4(1): 183-187.

- Heah, C.Y., Kamarudin, H., Mustafa-Al-Bakri, A.M., Bnhussain, M., Luqman, M., Khairul-Nizar, I., Ruzaidi, C.M. and Lie Y.M. (2013): Kaolin-based Geopolymers with various NaOH Concentrations. *Int. J. Minerals, Metall. and Mater.* (20)3: 313-322.
- Jiang, M., Jin, X., Lu, X. and Chen, Z. (2010): Adsorption of Pb(II), Cd(II), Ni(II) and Cu(II) onto natural kaolinite clay. *Desalination*, 252: 33-39.
- Jiang, M., Wang, Q., Jin, X. and Chen, Z. (2009). Removal of Pb(II) from Aqueous Solution using Modified and Unmodified Kaolinite Clay. J. Hazard. Mater. 170(1): 332-339.
- Joyoti, B. (2013): Evaluation of Various Methods and Efficiencies for Treatment of Effluent from Iron and Steel Industry- A Review. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Robotic Research*, 2(3): 67-73.
- Lin, H., Wei, P., Meijia, Z., Jianrong, C., Huachang, H. and Ye, Z. (2013): A Review on Anaerobic Membrane Bioreactors: Applications, Membrane Fouling and Future Perspectives. *Desalination*, 314: 169-188.
- Martin-Lara, M.A., Blazquez, G., Trujillo, M.C., Perez, A. and Calero, M. (2014): New treatment of real electroplating wastewater containing heavy metal ions by adsorption onto olive stone. *J. Cleaner Production*, 81: 120-129.
- Nayak, P.S. and Singh, B.K. (2007): Instrumental Characterization of Clay by XRF, XRD and FTIR. *Bulletin of Material Science*, 30(3): 235-238.
- NESREA, (2011): Effluent Limitation Standards for Chemical, Pharmaceuticals, Soap and Detergent Sector: Schedule 1, B: 134-1350
- Olaofe, O., Olagboye, S.A. Akanji, P.S. Adamolugbe, E.Y., Fowowe, O.T. and Olaniyi, A.A. (2015):Kinetic studies of adsorption of heavy metals on clays. *Int. J. Chem.* 7(1): 4854.
- Osabor, V. N., Okafor, P. C., Ibe, K. A. and Ayi, A. A. (2009): Characterization of Clays in Odukpani, South Eastern Nigeria. *African J. Pure Appl. Chem.* 3(5): 79-85.
- Ram, S.L., Pravin, U.S. and Deepali, S. (2011): Study on Physicochemical Parameters of Wastewater Effluents from Taloja Industrial Area of Mumbai, India. *Int. J. Ecosystem*,1(1): 1-9.
- Sciban, M., Radetic, B., Kevresan, Z. and Klasnja, M. (2007): Adsorption of heavy metals from electroplating wastewater by wood sawdust. *Bioresource Technol.* 98(2): 402-409.
- Shun, D.L. and Lee, C.C. (2004): Water and Wastewater Calculations Manual, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. McGraw Hill, New York, pp. 7-11.

- Smith, A.L., Lauren, B.S., Nancy, G.L., Steven, J.S., Lutgarde, R. (2012): Perspectives on Anaerobic Membrane Bioreactor Treatment of Domestic Wastewater: A Critical Review. *Bioresource Technol.* 122: 149-159.
- Tyagi, S., Sharma, B., Singh, P. and Dobhal, R. (2013): Water Quality Assessment in Terms of Water Quality Index. *Amer. J. Water Res.*1(3): 34-38.
- WHO, (2011). World Health Organization Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality, Fourth Edition. Geneva: WHO, pp. 564.
- Zhang, X., Li, C., Wang, X., Wang, Y., and Xu, T. (2012): Recovery of Hydrochloric Acid from Simulated Chemosynthesis Aluminum Foils Wastewater: An Integration of Diffusion Dialysis and Conventional Electrodialysis. J. Membrane Sci.409-410: 257-263.